UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

APPALSEED PRODUCTIONS, INC., d/b/a
APPALSONGS, CHARLES LLOYD, individually
and d/b/a FOREST FARM MUSIC, DARIUS BROOKS
d/b/a FROM D'S PEN, DAVID HOFFNER d/b/a
FIELDS OF AUTUMN PUBLISHING and HOFFNER
HAUS MUSIC, JOE HILL MUSIC, LLC, d/b/a
JOE HILL MUSIC, LAURENCE WEISS d/b/a
RHINESTONE COWBOY MUSIC CO., MARK FARNER
d/b/a CRAM RENRAFF COMPANY and R GANT MUSIC
GROUP, INCORPORATED d/b/a HELLO DARLIN' MUSIC,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

Case No. 11 CIV 5922 (PGG)

MEDIANET DIGITAL, INC., ALAN MCGLADE AND STEPHEN BARRACLOUGH,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF STEPHEN BARRACLOUGH

New York, New York

Tuesday, March 13, 2012

REPORTED BY:

Chandra D. Brown

Ref: 7048

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - depo@transperfect.com

		Page 2
1		
2	DEPOSITION OF STEPHEN BARRACLOUGH, taken	
3	by Plaintiffs, pursuant to Notice, at the offices of	
4	Arent Fox LLP, 1675 Broadway, New York, New York, on	
5	Tuesday, March 13, 2012, commencing at 8:19 a.m.,	э
6	before Chandra D. Brown, a Registered Professional	
7	Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State	
8	of New York.	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
Page 69
 1
                    S. Barraclough - 3/13/12
 2
          Q
                The ones that were tasked with taking care
          of the license.
 3
 4
                Possibly one or two phone calls.
                                                    The
 5
          interaction was primarily between HFA's people
 6
          and MediaNet's people in Seattle.
 7
               Okay.
          Q
 8
               Other than the presentations from Harry
 9
          Fox and renewing or extending the agreement,
10
          did you have any personal dealings with
          license-related issues?
11
12
          A
               Can you --
13
          0
               Music licensing.
14
          A
               Personal as in -- in my capacity as CEO?
15
          0
               Yes.
16
          A
               With other entities?
17
          0
               With the process, with other entities.
18
          A
               I reached out to MRI and rights flow as we
19
          came towards renewing.
20
               And was that for competing bids?
          0
21
          A
               Yes.
22
          0
               But you ultimately renewed or extended?
23
               We extended, not renewed.
          A
24
          0
               Okay.
25
               And were -- did you -- why did you extend
```

Page 70 1 S. Barraclough - 3/13/12 and not renew? 2 3 MR. ROMAN: Objection to form. 4 Because I was not comfortable with the A 5 business committing to Harry Fox for a further 6 two years. 7 Q Why were you not comfortable? 8 I had been told that MRI had had a match 9 rate of 90 percent of tracks, and that that was as good as a hundred percent because of all the 10 fluff in the catalog, and Harry Fox had not 11 been able to achieve that rate. 12 13 0 Okay. And what you're saying by "match rate," 14 15 90 percent match rate being able to identify the publishing administrator of a recording? 16 17 A A publisher of a recording, yes. 18 0 That's what you're talking about in matching? 19 20 A Yes. 21 0 And Harry Fox wasn't as good? 22 A No. 23 So why did you then extend with Harry Fox 24 rather than go back to MRI? 25 A number of reasons. MRI tell you, but A

Page 71 1 S. Barraclough - 3/13/12 don't show you the evidence. So they will tell 2 3 you a match rate, but they won't show you the 4 detail. And I was unclear how much we would be 5 able to improve the situation with Harry Fox when I first became aware of it. 7 Q Okay. 8 Do you know what percentage match rate --9 percentage Harry Fox was getting? 10 MR. ROMAN: Objection to form. 11 A At what point in time? 12 During your tenure as CEO. 13 A At the beginning, amongst the 14 presentations they gave us, they showed us 15 figures that sore an overall match rate below 16 55 percent. 17 But a match rate by value of over 90 percent in terms of value of royalties 18 19 earned of a dollar or more over an 18-month 20 period per track. At the end of that period, 21 they had improved that match rate to 77 and a 22 half percent. 23 0 Okay. 24 So at the end of that year, they are at 25 77 percent match rate.

```
Page 72
 1
                    S. Barraclough - 3/13/12
 2
          A
               Yes.
 3
               And because you gave both numbers earlier,
          started out 55 percent or less than 50 percent,
 4
 5
          I think you said.
 6
          A
                   Less than 55 percent.
               No.
 7
               Less than 55 percent match rate.
               But that less than 55 percent was
 8
          generating 95 percent of the royalties?
10
               Over 90 percent of royalties earned per
          track of a dollar or more.
11
12
               Okay. So I'm just trying to understand
13
          exactly what we're talking about here.
14
               So Harry Fox didn't know who the
15
          publishers were initially of over 45 percent of
16
          MediaNet's catalog?
17
               MR. ROMAN: Objection to form.
18
          0
               Is that correct?
19
               As I understand, they were unable to match
          A
20
          that.
21
          0
               Okay.
22
               And I would assume because they don't know
23
          who the publishers are.
24
          A
               I would assume.
25
               There is no licenses in place.
          Q
```

```
Page 73
                    S. Barraclough - 3/13/12
 1
 2
               MR. ROMAN:
                           Objection to form.
               The mechanics of it, I am not aware.
 3
          A
 4
          Q
               Did you ever inquire what that meant?
               MR. ROMAN: Objection to form.
 5
               MediaNet -- Harry Fox comes over for their
 6
          0
 7
          first presentation to you. And shows that they
          have a match rate of under 55 percent.
 8
 9
          A
               Yes.
               Did you ask what that means?
10
          Q
11
          A
               I did.
               And what did they tell you?
12
          0
13
               They told me that they were unable to pay
          A
14
          publishers royalty on the unmatched proportion.
15
          0
               Okay.
               So they don't know who it is?
16
17
          A
               Yes.
               Did you inquire as to whether licenses
18
          existed for that 45 percent?
19
20
               I inquired how they could improve that
21
          match rate.
22
          Q
               Okay.
23
               Did you take any steps to remove that
          content until it could be matched?
24
25
               I did not.
          A
```

Page 74 1 S. Barraclough - 3/13/12 2 Q Did you ever ask anyone whether MediaNet had the right to use that 45 percent of 3 4 unmatched? 5 MR. ROMAN: Objection to form. I was focused on is there a sustainable 6 A business here now or one that we can create quickly; otherwise, the business would be 8 closed down. 9 10 Right. 11 But I mean understanding the CEO, while you're there, it all comes under you. 12 13 A Correct. 14 So you didn't -- never took it down, never 15 directed somebody to figure out why we don't 16 know this. But you worked with Harry Fox to 17 see how it can improve? 18 My focus was to get to the 90 percent A 19 match rate. As we improved the match rate of 20 that six-month period, then we were able to 21 identify the tracks. We were able to basically 22 account for those unpaid royalties since the 23 beginning of MediaNet's agreement with Harry 24 Fox. 25 Q Okay.

```
Page 96
 1
                          CERTIFICATION
 2
     STATE OF NEW YORK
 3
 4
                          SS.:
 5
     COUNTY OF NEW YORK
                         )
 6
               I, CHANDRA D. BROWN, a Notary Public for
 7
     and within the State of New York, do hereby certify:
               That the witness whose examination is
 8
 9
     hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn and that such
     examination is a true record of the testimony given
10
11
     by that witness.
12
               I further certify that I am not related to
13
     any of the parties to this action by blood or by
14
     marriage and that I am in no way interested in the
     outcome of this matter.
15
16
               IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
17
     hand the 23rd day of March, 2012.
18
19
20
                          CHANDRA D. BROWN, RPR, CLR
21
22
23
24
25
```